

HYPERSTATIONARY SETS

JOAN BAGARIA

For κ a regular uncountable cardinal, the unbounded subsets of κ are the positive sets with respect to the Fréchet filter on κ (i.e., the set of subsets of κ whose complement has cardinality less than κ), whereas the stationary sets are the positive sets with respect to the closed unbounded (club) filter on κ . There is a potential hierarchy of filters, extending the club filter, whose positive sets give rise to the notion of hyperstationary set (i.e. ξ -stationary for some $\xi > 1$): We say that a subset A of some limit ordinal κ is *0-stationary* if it is unbounded. For $\xi > 0$, we say that A is *ξ -stationary* if and only if for every $\zeta < \xi$, every pair of subsets S and T of κ that are ζ -stationary *simultaneously ζ -reflect* to some $\alpha \in A$, i.e., $S \cap \alpha$ and $T \cap \alpha$ are both ζ -stationary in α .

Thus, $A \subseteq \kappa$ is 0-stationary iff it is unbounded, it is 1-stationary iff it is stationary, and it is 2-stationary iff every stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ reflects to some $\alpha \in A$, i.e., $S \cap \alpha$ is stationary in α . Writing \mathcal{F}_κ^ξ for the set $\{X \subseteq \kappa : \kappa - X \text{ is not } \xi\text{-stationary}\}$, we have that \mathcal{F}_κ^0 is the Fréchet filter on κ , and \mathcal{F}_κ^1 is the club filter. In general, \mathcal{F}_κ^ξ , for $\xi \geq 2$, is a filter iff κ is ξ -stationary ([1, 3]).

Now it turns out that for the filters \mathcal{F}_κ^ξ , $\xi \geq 2$, to be non-trivial, large cardinals are needed. Indeed, the existence of a 2-stationary cardinal κ is equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal ([10]). Moreover, in the constructible universe, L , a regular cardinal κ is $(\xi+1)$ -stationary iff it is Π_ξ^1 -indescribable ([2,3]).

The original motivation for the introduction and study of ξ -stationary sets was the still open problem of the ordinal topological completeness of Generalized Provability Logics \mathbf{GLP}_ξ , for $\xi > 2$ ([4,8,9], [5,6]). The ordinal topologies $\langle \tau_\zeta : \zeta < \xi \rangle$ involved in any proof of completeness of \mathbf{GLP}_ξ must be non-discrete, and the non-isolated points of the τ_ζ topology are exactly the ordinals that are ζ -stationary ([2]).

We shall discuss the intriguing connections between hyperstationary sets, large cardinals, the normality of the \mathcal{F}_κ^ξ filters, their corresponding

ordinal topologies, and the key combinatorial issues involved in the (possible) proof of ordinal topological completeness of \mathbf{GLP}_ξ . New interesting set-theoretical notions, such as *hypercofinalities* or *hypersquares* ([7]) come naturally out of these connections.

Acknowledgements. Supported by the Spanish Government under grant MTM2017-86777-P, and by the Generalitat de Catalunya (Catalan Government) under grant SGR 270-2017.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bagaria, J. (2012) *Topologies on ordinals and the completeness of polymodal provability logics*. Circulated manuscript.
- [2] Bagaria, J., Magidor, M., and Sakai, H. (2015) *Reflection and indescribability in the constructible universe*. Israel Journal of Math., Volume 208, Issue 1, 1-11.
- [3] Bagaria, J. (2019) *Derived topologies on ordinals and stationary reflection*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371, 1981-2002
- [4] Beklemishev, L. D. (2009) On GLP spaces. Circulated manuscript.
- [5] Beklemishev, L. D. and Gabelaia, D. (2013) *Topological completeness of the provability logic GLP*. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 164, pp. 1201-1223.
- [6] Blass, A. (1990) *Infinitary Combinatorics and Modal Logic*. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 55, No. 2, 761-778.
- [7] Brickhill, H. (2017) *Generalising the Notions of Closed Unbounded and Stationary Set*. PhD thesis, School of Mathematics, University of Bristol.
- [8] Ignatiev, K. N. (1993). *On strong provability predicates and the associated modal logics*. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 58, 249 – 290.
- [9] Japaridze, G. K. (1986) *The modal logical means of investigation of provability*. Thesis in Philosophy, in Russian. Moscow.
- [10] Magidor, M. (1982). *Reflecting stationary sets*. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 47, Num. 4, 755-771.
- [11] Mekler, A. H. and Shelah, S. (1989). *The consistency strength of “Every stationary set reflects”*. Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 67, No. 3, 353-366.

ICREA (INSTITUCIÓ CATALANA DE RECERCA I ESTUDIS AVANÇATS) AND
 DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA,
 BARCELONA, CATALONIA.
E-mail address: joan.bagaria@icrea.cat